arXiv:1601.08206v2 [math-ph] 7 Feb 2016

Expansion of polynomial Lie group integrals in terms of certain maps on surfaces, and factorizations of permutations

Marcel Novaes
Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Uberlândia, MG, 38408-100, Brazil

Abstract

Using the diagrammatic approach to integrals over Gaussian random matrices, we find a representation for polynomial Lie group integrals as infinite sums over certain maps on surfaces. The maps involved satisfy a specific condition: they have some marked vertices, and no closed walks that avoid these vertices. We also formulate our results in terms of permutations, arriving at new kinds of factorization problems.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

We are interested in integrals over the orthogonal group $\mathcal{O}(N)$ of N-dimensional real matrices O satisfying $OO^T = 1$, where T means transpose, and over the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(N)$ of N-dimensional complex matrices U satisfying $UU^\dagger = 1$, where $\dagger$ means transpose conjugate. These groups have a unique invariant probability measure, known as Haar measure, and integrals over them may be seen as averages over ensembles of random matrices.

We will consider averages of functions that are polynomial in the matrix elements, i.e. quantities like $\prod_{k=1}^n U_{i_k,j_k} U^\dagger_{p_k,q_k}$ for the unitary group and $\prod_{k=1}^n O_{i_k,j_k} O_{i_{\widehat{k}},j_{\widehat{k}}}$ for the orthogonal one (results for the unitary symplectic group $Sp(N)$ are very close to those for $\mathcal{O}(N)$, so we do not consider this group in detail). From the statistical point of view, these are joint moments of the matrix elements, considered as correlated random variables. Their study started in physics [1, 2], with applications to quantum chaos [3, 4, 5], and found its way into mathematics, initially for the unitary group [6] and soon after for the orthogonal and symplectic ones [7, 8]. Since then, they have been extensively explored [9, 10], related to Jucys-Murphy elements [11, 12] and generalized to symmetric spaces [13]. Unsurprisingly, after these developments some new applications have been found in physics [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19].

For the unitary group average, the result is different from zero only if the q-labels are a permutation of the i-labels, and the p-labels are a permutation of the j-labels. The basic building blocks of the calculation, usually called Weingarten functions, are of the kind

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^U(\pi) = \int_{\mathcal{U}(N)} dU \prod_{k=1}^n U_{k,k} U^\dagger_{k,\pi(k)}, \tag{1}$$

where π is an element of the permutation group, $\pi \in S_n$. In general, if there is more than one permutation relating the sets of labels (due to repeated indices, e.g. $\langle |U_{1,2}|^4 \rangle$), the result is a sum of Weingarten functions. The cycletype of a permutation in S_n is a partition of n, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ...) \vdash n = |\alpha|$, whose parts are the lengths of its cycles; the function $\mathrm{Wg}_N^U(\pi)$ depends only on the cycletype of π [6, 7].

The result of the orthogonal group average is different from zero only if the i-labels satisfy some matching (see Section 2.1 for matchings) and the j-labels also satisfy some matching [7, 9, 8]. For concreteness, we may choose the i's to satisfy only the trivial matching, and the j's to satisfy only some matching $\mathfrak{m}$ of cosettype β. The basic building blocks of polynomial integrals over the orthogonal group are

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^O(\beta) = \int_{\mathcal{O}(N)} dO O_{1,j_1} O_{1,j_{\widehat{1}}} O_{2,j_2} O_{2,j_{\widehat{2}}} \cdots O_{n,j_n} O_{n,j_{\widehat{n}}}. \tag{2}$$

As examples, let us mention

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^U((2)) = \int_{\mathcal{U}(N)} dU U_{1,1} U_{1,2}^\dagger U_{2,2} U_{2,1}^\dagger = \frac{-1}{(N-1)N(N+1)}, \tag{3}$$

corresponding to the permutation $\pi = (12)$, which has cycletype (2), and

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^O((2)) = \int_{\mathcal{O}(N)} dO O_{1,1} O_{1,2} O_{2,2} O_{2,1} = \frac{-1}{(N-1)N(N+2)}, \tag{4}$$

corresponding to the matching $\{\{1,\widehat{2}\},\{2,\widehat{1}\}\}$ for the j's, which has cosettype (2).

Our subject is the combinatorics associated with the large N asymptotics of these integrals. For the unitary case, this has been addressed in previous works [4, 6, 11, 20, 21], where connections with maps and factorizations of permutations have already appeared. However, our approach is different, and the maps/factorizations we encounter are new. Our treatment of the orthogonal case is also new. We proceed by first considering Weingarten functions in the context of ensembles of random truncated matrices; then relating those to Gaussian ensembles, and finally using the rich combinatorial structure of the latter.

In what follows we briefly present our results. A review of some basic concepts can be found in Section 2. Results for the unitary group are obtained in Section 3, while the orthogonal group is considered in Section 4. In an Appendix we discuss several different factorization problems that are related to $1/N$ expansions of Weingarten functions.

1.2 Results and discussion for the unitary group

1.2.1 Maps

For the unitary group, we represent the Weingarten function as an infinite sum over orientable maps.

Theorem 1 *If α is a partition with $\ell(\alpha)$ parts, then*

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^U(\alpha) = \frac{(-1)^{\ell(\alpha)}}{N^{2|\alpha|+\ell(\alpha)}} \sum_\chi N^\chi \sum_{w \in \mathcal{B}(\alpha,\chi)} (-1)^{V(w)}, \tag{5}$$

where the first sum is over Euler characteristic, and $V(w)$ is the number of vertices in the map w.

As we will discuss with more detail in Section 3.2 the (finite) set $\mathcal{B}(\alpha,\chi)$ contains all maps, not necessarily connected, with the following properties: i) they are orientable with Euler characteristic χ; ii) they have $\ell(\alpha)$ marked vertices with valencies $(2\alpha_1, 2\alpha_2, ...)$; iii) all other vertices have even valence larger than 2; iv) all closed walks along the boundaries of the edges (which we see as ribbons) visit the marked vertices in exactly one corner; v) they are face-bicolored and have $|\alpha|$ faces of each color.

As an example, the $1/N$ expansion for the function in (3), for which $\alpha = (2)$, starts

$$-\frac{1}{N^3} - \frac{1}{N^5} - \frac{1}{N^7} - \cdots, \tag{6}$$



Figure 1: A few of the maps that contribute to the Weingarten function (3), according to the expansion (5). They are face-bicolored, with different colors being indicated by solid and dashed lines, respectively. All boundary walks visit the marked vertex, which is the black disk. Their contributions are discussed in the text.

The first two orders come from maps like the ones shown in Figure 1. The map in panel *a*) is the only leading order contribution. It has $\chi = 2$ and $V = 2$, so its value is indeed $-1/N^3$. The next order comes from elements of $\mathcal{B}((2), 0)$. There are in total 21 different maps in that set having four vertices of valence 4; one of them is shown in panel *b*). There are 28 different maps in that set having two vertices of valence 4 and one vertex of valence 6; one of them is shown in panel *c*). Finally, there are 8 different maps in that set having one vertex of valence 4 and one vertex of valence 8; one of them is shown in panel *d*). They all have $\chi = 0$, and their combined contribution is $(-21 + 28 - 8)/N^5 = -1/N^5$.

1.2.2 Factorizations

By a factorization of Π we mean an ordered pair, $f \equiv (\tau_1, \tau_2)$, such that $\Pi = \tau_1\tau_2$. We call Π the 'target' of the factorization f. If $\Pi \in S_k$, then the Euler characteristic of f is given by $\chi = \ell(\Pi) - k + \ell(\tau_1) + \ell(\tau_2)$.

The number of factorizations of a permutation depends only on its cycletype, so it makes sense to restrict attention to specific representatives. Call a permutation 'standard' if each of its cycles contains only adjacent numbers, and the cycles have weakly decreasing length when ordered with respect to least element. For example, (123)(45) is standard. Since $\mathrm{Wg}_N^U(\pi)$ depends only on the cycletype of π, we may take π to be standard.

As we will discuss with more detail in Section 3.3, the relevant factorizations for $\mathrm{Wg}_N^U(\pi)$ are those whose target is of the kind $\Pi = \pi\rho$, where the 'complement' ρ is a standard permutation acting on the set $\{n+1, ..., n+m\}$, for some $m \geq 0$. They satisfy the following properties: i) they have Euler characteristic χ; ii) the complement ρ has no fixed points; iii) every cycle of the factors τ_1, τ_2 must have exactly one element in $\{1, ..., n\}$. Notice that the last condition implies $\ell(\tau_1) = \ell(\tau_2) = n$. Let the (finite) set of all such factorizations be denoted $\mathcal{F}(\pi, \chi)$. Then, we have

Theorem 2 *Let $\pi \in S_n$ be a standard permutation, then*

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^U(\pi) = \frac{(-1)^{\ell(\pi)}}{N^{2n+\ell(\pi)}} \sum_{\chi} N^{\chi} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}(\pi,\chi)} \frac{(-1)^{\ell(\Pi)}}{z_\rho}, \tag{7}$$

where $z_\rho = \prod_j j^{v_j} v_j!$, with v_j the number of times part j occurs in the cycletype of the complement ρ.

Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1 by a simple procedure for associating factorizations to maps in $\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \chi)$, discussed in Section 3.3. Associations of this kind are well known [22, 23, 24].

For example, the leading order in Eq.(6), for which $\pi = (12)$, has a contribution from the factorization $(12)(34) = (14)(23) \cdot (13)(24)$, which has $\rho = (34)$ and is one of two factorizations that can be associated with the map in Figure 1a. Several factorizations can be associated with the other maps in Figure 1. We mention one possibility for each of them: $(12)(34)(56)(78) = (148)(25763) \cdot (13)(285746)$ for the map in Figure 1b; $(12)(345)(67) = (17)(23546) \cdot (16)(27435)$ for the map in Figure 1c; $(12)(3456) = (164)(253) \cdot (1365)(24)$ for the map in Figure 1d. Notice how they have different complements.

Other factorization problems are also related to the coefficients in the $1/N$ expansion for $\mathrm{Wg}_N^U(\pi)$ (see the Appendix). Collins initially showed [6] that they can be expressed in terms of the number of 'Proper' factorizations of π. Matsumoto and Novak later showed [11] that the coefficients count Monotone factorizations. On the other hand, Berkolaiko and Irving recently defined [25] Inequivalent-Cycle factorizations and showed that

$$\sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^r I_{\alpha,\chi}(r) = \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^r P_{\alpha,\chi}(r) = (-1)^{n+\ell(\alpha)} M_{\alpha,\chi}, \tag{8}$$

where $I_{\alpha,\chi}(r)$ and $P_{\alpha,\chi}(r)$ are, respectively, the numbers of Inequivalent-Cycle and Proper factorizations of π, with cycletype $\alpha \vdash n$, into r factors having Euler characteristic χ, while $M_{\alpha,\chi}$ is the number of Monotone factorizations of π with Euler characteristic χ. Interestingly, our factorizations satisfy a very similar sum rule, namely

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}(\pi;\chi)} \frac{(-1)^{\ell(\Pi)}}{z_\rho} = (-1)^{n+\ell(\alpha)} M_{\alpha,\chi}. \tag{9}$$

An important difference between (9) and (8) is that the factorizations in (9) take place in S_{n+m} for some $m \geq 0$, while all those in (8) take place in S_n.

Notice that our factorizations must satisfy condition iii), which is related to the distribution of the elements from the set $\{1, ..., n\}$ among the cycles of the factors τ_1, τ_2. This is close in spirit to the kind of questions studied by Bóna, Stanley and others [26, 27], which count factorizations satisfying some placement conditions on the elements of the target.

1.3 Results and discussion for the orthogonal group

1.3.1 Maps

For the orthogonal group, we represent the Weingarten function as an infinite sum over maps (orientable and non-orientable).

Theorem 3 *Let β be a partition with $\ell(\beta)$ parts, then*

$$\mathrm{Wg}_{N+1}^O(\beta) = \frac{(-2)^{\ell(\beta)}}{N^{2|\beta|+\ell(\beta)}} \sum_{\chi} N^{\chi} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{NB}(\beta,\chi)} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{V(w)}, \tag{10}$$



Figure 2: Two of the maps that contribute to the Weingarten function (4), according to the expansion (10). They are not orientable: some of the ribbons have 'twists'.

where the first sum is over Euler characteristic, and $V(w)$ is the number of vertices in the map w.

As we will discuss with more detail in Section 4.2 the (finite) set $\mathcal{NB}(\beta,\chi)$ contains all maps, not necessarily connected or orientable, with the following properties: i) they have Euler characteristic χ; ii) they have $\ell(\beta)$ marked vertices with valencies $(2\beta_1, 2\beta_2, ...)$; iii) all other vertices have even valence larger than 2; iv) all closed walks along the boundaries of the edges visit the marked vertices in exactly one corner; v) they are face-bicolored and have $|\beta|$ faces of each color.

Notice that the expansion is in powers of N^{-1} for the group $\mathcal{O}(N+1)$ and not for $\mathcal{O}(N)$. For example, the first terms in the expansion of the function in Eq.(4) at dimension $N+1$ are

$$\frac{-1}{N(N+1)(N+3)} = -\frac{1}{N^3} + \frac{4}{N^4} - \frac{13}{N^5}... \tag{11}$$

The leading order comes from the map shown in Figure 1a, which is orientable. The next order comes from non-orientable maps in the set $\mathcal{NB}((2),1)$. There are in total 8 different maps having two vertices of valence 2; one of them is shown in Figure 2a. There are in total 4 different maps having one vertex of valence 2 and one vertex of valence 3; one of them is shown in Figure 2b. Their combined contribution is $(8-4)/N^4 = 4/N^4$.

Remark It is known [13] that the Weingarten function of the unitary symplectic group $Sp(N)$ is proportional to Wg^{O}_{-2N}. Therefore the appropriate dimension for map expansion in $Sp(N)$ is also different from N: it has to be $Sp(N-1/2)$ (a non-integer dimension is to be understood by keeping in mind that Weingarten functions are rational functions of N). Interestingly, if we assign a parameter $\alpha = 2, 1, 1/2$ for orthogonal, unitary and symplectic groups, respectively (sometimes called Jack parameter), then the appropriate dimensions for the map expansion in powers of N^{-1} can be written as $N + \alpha - 1$.

1.3.2 Factorizations

Let $[n] = \{1, ..., n\}$ and $\widehat{[n]} = \{\widehat{1}, ..., \widehat{n}\}$. We consider the action of S_{2n} on $[n] \cup \widehat{[n]}$. Define the 'hat' involution on permutations as $\widehat{\pi}(a) = \pi^{-1}(\widehat{a})$ (assuming $\widehat{\widehat{a}} = a$). We call permutations that are invariant under this transformation 'palindromic', e.g. $(12\widehat{2}\widehat{1})$ and $(12)(\widehat{2}\widehat{1})$ are palindromic.

Given a partition β, define $\pi \in S_n$ to be the standard permutation that has cycletype β and define $\Pi = \pi\rho$, where the 'complement' ρ is a standard permutation acting on the set $\{n+1, ..., n+m\}$ for some $m \geq 0$. Define the fixed-point free involutions p_1, whose cycles are $(a\,\widehat{a})$ for $1 \leq a \leq n+m$, and p_2 whose cycles are of the type $(\widehat{a}\,a+1)$, but with the additions computed modulo the cycle lengths of Π, i.e.

$$p_2 = (\widehat{1}\,2)(\widehat{2}\,3)\cdots(\widehat{\beta_1}\,1)(\widehat{\beta_1+1}\,\beta_1+2)\cdots(\widehat{\beta_1+\beta_2}\,\beta_1+1)\cdots . \tag{12}$$

They provide a factorization of the palindromic version of the target, $p_2 p_1 = \Pi\,\widehat{\Pi}$.

The problem we need to solve is to find all factorizations $\Pi\,\widehat{\Pi} = f_2 f_1$, that satisfy the following properties: i) their Euler characteristic, defined as $\ell(\Pi) - m - n + \ell(f_1) + \ell(f_2)$, is χ; ii) the complement ρ has no fixed points; iii) the factors may be written as $f_1 = \theta p_1$ and $f_2 = p_2\theta$ for some fixed-point free involution θ; iv) f_1 is palindromic; v) every cycle of the factors f_1, f_2 contains exactly one element in $[n] \cup \widehat{[n]}$. Clearly, the crucial quantity is actually θ. Let the (finite) set of all pairs (Π, θ) satisfying these conditions be denoted $\mathcal{NF}(\beta, \chi)$. Then, we have

Theorem 4 *For a given partition β of length $\ell(\beta)$,*

$$\mathrm{Wg}^{O}_{N+1}(\beta) = \frac{(-2)^{\ell(\beta)}}{N^{2|\beta|+\ell(\beta)}} \sum_{\chi} N^{\chi} \sum_{(\Pi,\theta)\in\mathcal{NF}(\beta,\chi)} \frac{1}{z_\rho} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\ell(\Pi)}, \tag{13}$$

where $z_\rho = \prod_j j^{v_j} v_j!$, with v_j the number of times part j occurs in the cycletype of the complement ρ.

Theorem 4 follows from Theorem 3 by a simple procedure for describing combinatorially the maps in $\mathcal{NB}(\beta, \chi)$, discussed in Section 4.3. Such kind of descriptions are well known [28, 29, 30].

For example, the leading order in Eq.(11) has a contribution from the pair $\Pi = (12)(34)$, $\theta = (1\widehat{3})(2\widehat{4})(4\widehat{2})(3\widehat{1})$. The next order comes from factorizations associated with the maps in Figure 2. We mention one for each of them: $\Pi = (12)(34)(56)$, $\theta = (1\widehat{3})(\widehat{1}3)(25)(\widehat{2}4)(\widehat{4}6)(\widehat{5}\widehat{6})$ for a) and $\Pi = (12)(345)$, $\theta = (1\widehat{3})(\widehat{1}3)(25)(\widehat{2}4)(\widehat{4}\widehat{5})$ for b).

Other factorizations are related to the coefficients in the $1/N$ expansion of orthogonal Weingarten functions, as we discuss in the Appendix. Matsumoto has shown [31] that these coefficients count certain factorizations that are matching analogues of the Monotone family. Following the steps in [6] we show that the coefficients can be expressed in terms of analogues of Proper factorizations. These relations hold for $\mathcal{O}(N)$, however, not $\mathcal{O}(N+1)$. Therefore the relation to our results is less direct. On the other hand, Berkolaiko and Kuipers have shown [32] that certain 'Palindromic Monotone' factorizations are related to the $1/N$ expansion for $\mathcal{O}(N+1)$. The appropriate analogue of Inequivalent-Cycle factorizations is currently missing.

1.4 Connection with physics

This work was originally motivated by applications in physics, in the semiclassical approximation to the quantum mechanics of chaotic systems. Without going into too much detail, the problem in question was to construct correlated sets of chaotic trajectories that are responsible for the relevant quantum effects in the semiclassical limit [33]. Connections between this topic and factorizations of permutations had already been noted [34, 32, 35, 36, 37]. In [18] we suggested that such sets of trajectories could be obtained from the diagrammatic expansion of a certain matrix integral, with the proviso that the dimension of the matrices had to be set to zero after the calculation. When we realized the connection to truncated random unitary matrices, this became our Theorem 1. The suggestion from [18], initially restricted to systems without time-reversal symmetry, was later extended to systems that have this symmetry [19]; the connection with truncated random orthogonal matrices then gave rise to our Theorem 3.

1.5 Acknowledgments

The connection between our previous work [18] and truncated unitary matrices was first suggested by Yan Fyodorov.



Figure 3: Three examples of graphs associated with matchings. Edges coming from the trivial matching, $\mathfrak{t}$, are drawn in dashed line. In a) we have $\mathfrak{m} = \{\{1,\widehat{2}\},\{2,\widehat{1}\},\{3,\widehat{3}\}\}$, which can be produced as $(12)(\mathfrak{t})$ or $(\widehat{12})(\mathfrak{t})$, among others ways; permutations (12) and $(\widehat{12})$ thus belong to the same coset in S_{2n}/H_n. In b) $\mathfrak{m} = \{\{2,\widehat{3}\},\{3,\widehat{2}\}\}$, which can be produced as $(23)(\mathfrak{t})$ or $(\widehat{23})(\mathfrak{t})$, among others. The matchings in both a) and b) have the same cosettype, namely $(2,1)$; the permutations producing them therefore belong to the same double coset in $H_n\backslash S_{2n}/H_n$. In c) $\mathfrak{m} = \{\{1,2\},\{\widehat{1},\widehat{2}\},\{3,\widehat{4}\},\{4,\widehat{5}\},\{5,\widehat{3}\}\}$, and its cosettype is $(3,2)$.

This work had financial support from CNPq (PQ-303318/2012-0) and FAPEMIG (APQ-00393-14).

2 Basic concepts

2.1 Partitions, Permutations and Matchings

By $\alpha \vdash n$ we mean α is a partition of n, i.e. a weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers such that $\sum_i \alpha_i = |\alpha| = n$. The number of non-zero parts is called the length of the partition and denoted by $\ell(\alpha)$.

The group of permutations of n elements is S_n. The cycletype of $\pi \in S_n$ is the partition $\alpha \vdash n$ whose parts are the lengths of the cycles of π. The length of a permutation is the number of cycles it has, $\ell(\pi) = \ell(\alpha)$, while its rank is $r(\pi) = n - \ell(\pi) = r(\alpha)$. We multiply permutations from right to left, e.g. $(13)(12) = (123)$. The conjugacy class C_λ is the set of all permutations with cycletype λ. Its size is $|C_\lambda| = n!/z_\lambda$, with $z_\lambda = \prod_j j^{v_j} v_j!$, where v_j is the number of times part j occurs in λ.

Let $[n] = \{1,...,n\}$, $\widehat{[n]} = \{\widehat{1},...,\widehat{n}\}$ and let $[n]\cup\widehat{[n]}$ be a set with $2n$ elements. A *matching* on this set is a collection of n disjoint subsets with two elements each. The set of all such matchings is $\mathcal{M}_n$. The *trivial* matching is defined as $\mathfrak{t} = \{\{1,\widehat{1}\},\{2,\widehat{2}\},...,\{n,\widehat{n}\}\}$. A permutation π acts on matchings by replacing blocks like $\{a,b\}$ by $\{\pi(a),\pi(b)\}$. If $\pi(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathfrak{m}$ we say that π *produces* the matching $\mathfrak{m}$.

Given a matching $\mathfrak{m}$, let $\mathcal{G}_\mathfrak{m}$ be a graph with $2n$ vertices having labels in $[n]\cup\widehat{[n]}$, two vertices being connected by an edge if they belong to the same block in either $\mathfrak{m}$ or $\mathfrak{t}$. Since each vertex belongs to two edges, all connected components of $\mathcal{G}_\mathfrak{m}$ are cycles of even length. The *cosettype* of $\mathfrak{m}$ is the partition of n whose parts are half the number of edges in the connected components of $\mathcal{G}_\mathfrak{m}$. See examples in Figure 3. A permutation has the same cosettype as the matching it produces.

We realize the group S_{2n} as the group of all permutations acting on the set $[n]\cup\widehat{[n]}$. It has a subgroup called the hyperoctahedral, H_n, with $|H_n| = 2^n n!$ elements, which leaves invariant the trivial matching and is generated by permutations of the form $(a\,\widehat{a})$ or of the form $(a\,b)(\widehat{a}\,\widehat{b})$. The cosets $S_{2n}/H_n \sim \mathcal{M}_n$ can therefore be represented by matchings. The trivial matching identifies the coset of the identity permutation. We may inject $\mathcal{M}_n$ into S_{2n} by using fixed-point free involutions. This is done by the simple identification $\mathfrak{m} = \{\{a_1,a_2\},\{a_3,a_4\},...\} \mapsto \sigma_\mathfrak{m} = (a_1\,a_2)(a_3\,a_4)\cdots$.



Figure 4: Wick's rule for complex Gaussian random matrices. Starting from $\langle \mathrm{Tr}(ZZ^\dagger)^3 \rangle = \sum_{a_1,a_2,a_3} \sum_{b_1,b_2,b_3} Z_{a_1 b_1} Z^\dagger_{b_1 a_2} Z_{a_2 b_2} Z^\dagger_{b_2 a_3} Z_{a_3 b_3} Z^\dagger_{b_3 a_1}$, we arrange the elements around a vertex (left panel). Then, we produce all possible connections between the marked ends of the arrows, respecting orientation. Two of them are shown. The map in the middle panel has only one face of each color, and $\chi = 0$. The map in the right panel has three faces of one color and a single face of the other, with $\chi = 2$.

The double cosets $H_n \backslash S_{2n} / H_n$, on the other hand, are indexed by partitions of n: two permutations belong to the same double coset if and only if they have the same cosettype [38] (hence this terminology). We denote by K_λ the double coset of all permutations with cosettype λ. Its size is $|K_\lambda| = |H_n||C_\lambda| 2^{r(\lambda)}$.

Given a sequence of $2n$ numbers, $(i_1, ..., i_{2n})$ we say that it satisfies the matching $\mathfrak{m}$ if the elements coincide when paired according to $\mathfrak{m}$. This is quantified by the function $\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}}(i) = \prod_{b \in \mathfrak{m}} \delta_{i_{b_1}, i_{b_2}}$, where the product runs over the blocks of $\mathfrak{m}$ and b_1, b_2 are the elements of block b.

2.2 Maps

Maps are graphs drawn on a surface, with a well defined sense of rotation around each vertex. We represent the edges of a map by ribbons. These ribbons meet at the vertices, which are represented by disks, and the region where two ribbons meet is called a *corner*.

It is possible to go from one vertex to another by walking along a boundary of a ribbon. Upon arriving at a vertex, a walker may move around the boundary of the disk to a boundary of the next ribbon, and then depart again. Such a walk we call a *boundary walk*. A boundary walk that eventually retraces itself is called *closed* and delimits a *face* of the map.

Let V, E and F be respectively the numbers of vertices, edges and faces of a map. The Euler characteristic of the map is $\chi = V - E + F$, and it is additive in the connected components (we do not require maps to be connected, and each connected component is understood to be drawn on a different surface).

In all of the maps used in this work, ribbons have boundaries of two different colors. For convenience, we represent those colors by simply drawing these boundaries with two types os lines: dashed lines and solid lines. Ribbons are attached to vertices in such a way that all corners and all faces have a well defined color, i.e. our maps are *face-bicolored*. Examples are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

2.3 Non-hermitian Gaussian random matrices

We consider N-dimensional matrices for which the elements are independent and identically-distributed Gaussian random variables, the so-called Ginibre ensembles [39]. For real matrices we will use the notation M, for complex ones we use Z.



Figure 5: Wick's rule for real Gaussian random matrices. Starting from $\langle \mathrm{Tr}(MM^T)^3 \rangle$, we arrange the elements around a vertex (left panel). Then, we produce all possible connections between the marked ends of the arrows. One of them is shown, which has two faces of one color and a single face of the other, with $\chi = 1$. Notice that the boundaries of the edges are not oriented, and that two of them have twists.

Normalization constants for these ensembles are defined as

$$\mathcal{Z}_R = \int dM e^{-\frac{\Omega}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(MM^T)}, \quad \mathcal{Z}_C = \int dZ e^{-\Omega \mathrm{Tr}(ZZ^\dagger)}. \tag{14}$$

They can be computed (as we do later) using singular value decomposition. Average values are denoted by

$$\langle f(M) \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_R} \int dM e^{-\frac{\Omega}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(MM^T)} f(M), \tag{15}$$

and

$$\langle f(Z) \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_C} \int dZ e^{-\Omega \mathrm{Tr}(ZZ^\dagger)} f(Z), \tag{16}$$

the meaning of $\langle \cdot \rangle$ being clear from context. We have the simple covariances

$$\langle M_{ab} M_{cd} \rangle = \frac{1}{\Omega} \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd}, \tag{17}$$

and

$$\langle Z_{ab} Z_{cd} \rangle = \langle Z^*_{ab} Z^*_{cd} \rangle = 0, \quad \langle Z_{ab} Z^*_{cd} \rangle = \frac{1}{\Omega} \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd}. \tag{18}$$

Polynomial integrals may be computed using Wick's rule, which is a combinatorial prescription for combining covariances. It simply states that, since the elements are independent, the average of a product can be decomposed in terms of products of covariances. In the complex case, we may consider the elements of Z fixed and then permute the elements of $Z^\dagger$ in all possible ways,

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{n} Z_{a_k b_k} Z^\dagger_{c_k d_k} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\Omega^n} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{a_k, d_{\pi(k)}} \delta_{b_k, c_{\pi(k)}}. \tag{19}$$

For example,

$$\langle Z_{a_1 b_1} Z^*_{d_1 c_1} Z_{a_2 b_2} Z^*_{d_2 c_2} \rangle = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} \delta_{a_1, d_1} \delta_{b_1, c_1} \delta_{a_2, d_2} \delta_{b_2, c_2} + \frac{1}{\Omega^2} \delta_{a_1, d_2} \delta_{b_1, c_2} \delta_{a_2, d_1} \delta_{b_2, c_1}. \tag{20}$$

In the real case, we must consider all possible matchings among the elements,

$$\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^{2n} M_{a_k b_k} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\Omega^n} \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{M}_n} \Delta_{\mathfrak{m}}(a) \Delta_{\mathfrak{m}}(b). \tag{21}$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle M_{a_1b_1}M_{a_2b_2}M_{a_3b_3}M_{a_4b_4}\rangle = &\frac{1}{\Omega^2}\delta_{a_1,a_2}\delta_{a_3,a_4}\delta_{b_1,b_2}\delta_{b_3,b_4} + \frac{1}{\Omega^2}\delta_{a_1,a_3}\delta_{a_2,a_4}\delta_{b_1,b_3}\delta_{b_2,b_4} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Omega^2}\delta_{a_1,a_4}\delta_{a_2,a_3}\delta_{b_1,b_4}\delta_{b_2,b_3}.\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

These Wick's rules have a well known diagrammatic interpretation (see, e.g., [40, 41, 42, 43, 44]). In the complex case, matrix elements are represented by ribbons having borders oriented in the same direction but with different colors. Ribbons from elements of Z have a marked head, while ribbons from elements of $Z^\dagger$ have a marked tail. Ribbons coming from traces are arranged around vertices, so that all marked ends are on the outside and all corners have a well defined color. Wick's rule consists in making all possible connections between ribbons, using marked ends, respecting orientation. This produces a map (not necessarily connected). According to Eq.(18), each edge leads to a factor Ω^{-1}. In the real cases, the boundaries of the ribbons are not oriented and the maps need not be orientable: the edges may contain a 'twist'. We show an example for the complex case in Figure 4, and an example for the real case in Figure 5.

3 Unitary Group

3.1 Truncations

Let U be a random matrix uniformly distributed in $\mathcal{U}(N)$ with the appropriate normalized Haar measure. Let A be the $M_1 \times M_2$ upper left corner of U, with $N \geq M_1 + M_2$ and $M_1 \leq M_2$. It is known [45, 46, 47, 48, 49] that A, which satisfies $AA^\dagger < 1_{M_1}$, becomes distributed with probability density given by

$$P(A) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Y}_1}\det(1 - AA^\dagger)^{N_0}, \tag{23}$$

where

$$N_0 = N - M_1 - M_2 \tag{24}$$

and $\mathcal{Y}_1$ is a normalization constant.

The value of $\mathcal{Y}_1$ can be computed using the singular value decomposition $A = WDV$, where W and V are matrices from $\mathcal{U}(M_1)$ and $\mathcal{U}(M_2)$, respectively. Matrix D is real, diagonal and non-negative. Let $T = D^2 = \mathrm{diag}(t_1, t_2, ...)$. Then [40, 50, 51],

$$\mathcal{Y}_1 = \int_{\mathcal{U}(M_1)} dW \int_{\mathcal{U}(M_2)} dV \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^{M_1} dt_i (1 - t_i)^{N_0} t_i^{M_2 - M_1} |\Delta(t)|^2, \tag{25}$$

where

$$\Delta(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{M_1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{M_1} (t_j - t_i). \tag{26}$$

If we denote the angular integrals by

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}(M_1)} dW \int_{\mathcal{U}(M_2)} dV = \mathcal{V}_1, \tag{27}$$

then Selberg's integral tells us that [52, 53]

$$\mathcal{Y}_1 = \mathcal{V}_1 \prod_{j=1}^{M_1} \frac{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(M_2 + 1 - j)\Gamma(N - M_2 - j + 1)}{\Gamma(N - j + 1)}. \tag{28}$$

Consider now an even smaller subblock of U, which is contained in A. Namely, let $\widetilde{U}$ be the $N_1 \times N_2$ upper left corner of U, with $N_1 \leq M_1$ and $N_2 \leq M_2$. We shall make use of the obvious fact that integrals involving matrix elements of $\widetilde{U}$ can be computed either by integrating over U or over A. In particular, the quantity

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^U(\pi) = \int_{\mathcal{U}(N)} dU \prod_{k=1}^{n} \widetilde{U}_{k,k} \widetilde{U}^\dagger_{k,\pi(k)}, \tag{29}$$

with $n \leq N_1, N_2$ and $\pi \in S_n$, can also be written as

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^U(\pi) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Y}_1} \int_{AA^\dagger < 1_{M_1}} dA \det(1 - AA^\dagger)^{N_0} \prod_{k=1}^{n} A_{k,k} A^\dagger_{k,\pi(k)}. \tag{30}$$

Notice that, although this may not be evident at first sight, the right-hand-side of equation (30) is actually independent of M_1 and M_2.

3.2 Sum over maps

The key to the diagrammatic formulation of our integral is the identity

$$\det(1 - AA^\dagger)^{N_0} = e^{N_0 \mathrm{Trlog}(1 - AA^\dagger)} = e^{-N_0 \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q} \mathrm{Tr}(AA^\dagger)^q}. \tag{31}$$

We shall consider the first term in the series separately from the rest, and incorporate it into the measure, i.e. we will write

$$dA e^{-N_0 \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q} \mathrm{Tr}(AA^\dagger)^q} = d_G(A) e^{-N_0 \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q} \mathrm{Tr}(AA^\dagger)^q}, \tag{32}$$

where $d_G(A)$ is a Gaussian measure,

$$d_G(A) = dA e^{-N_0 \mathrm{Tr}(AA^\dagger)}. \tag{33}$$

We have

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^U(\pi) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Y}_1} \int_{AA^\dagger < 1_{M_1}} d_G(A) e^{-N_0 \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q} \mathrm{Tr}(AA^\dagger)^q} \prod_{k=1}^{n} A_{k,k} A^\dagger_{k,\pi(k)}. \tag{34}$$

Taking into account that the series in the exponent diverges for $AA^\dagger \geq 1_{M_1}$ and that $e^{-\infty} = 0$, we extend the integration to general matrices A,

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^U(\pi) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Y}_1} \int d_G(A) e^{-N_0 \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q} \mathrm{Tr}(AA^\dagger)^q} \prod_{k=1}^{n} A_{k,k} A^\dagger_{k,\pi(k)}. \tag{35}$$

Now we are in the realm of Gaussian integrals, and may apply Wick's rule. For each cycle of π, the elements of A and $A^\dagger$ in the last product can be arranged in counterclockwise order around vertices. This produces what we call 'marked' vertices, in number of $\ell(\pi)$. Formally expanding the exponential in Eq.(35) as a Taylor series in N_0 will produce other vertices, let us call them 'internal', all of them of even valence larger than 2. This leads to an infinite sum over maps with arbitrary numbers of internal vertices and edges. The contribution of a map will be proportional to $(-1)^v N_0^{v-E}$, if it has v internal vertices and E edges, which can be written as

$$(-1)^v N_0^{v-E} = \frac{(-1)^{\ell(\pi)}}{N_0^{F+\ell(\pi)}} N_0^{\chi} (-1)^V. \tag{36}$$

However, the application of Wick's rule may lead to closed boundary walks that visit only the internal vertices and avoid the marked ones. If a map has r_1 closed boundary walks of one color and r_2 closed boundary walks of the other color that avoid the marked vertices, its contribution will be proportional to $M_1^{r_1} M_2^{r_2}$. Crucially, since we know that $\mathrm{Wg}_N^U(\pi)$ is actually independent of M_1, M_2, we are free to consider only the cases $r_1 = r_2 = 0$, or equivalently to take the simplifying limits $M_1 \to 0$ and $M_2 \to 0$. We will therefore be left only with maps in which all closed boundary walks visit the marked vertices.

Another point we must address is that the normalization constant $\mathcal{Y}_1$ is not equal to the corresponding Gaussian one, $\mathcal{Z}_C = \int d_G(A)$, so that the diagrammatic expression for $\mathrm{Wg}_N^U(\pi)$ will be multiplied by $\mathcal{Z}_C/\mathcal{Y}_1$. Using again the singular value decomposition of A, and

$$\int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^{M_1} dt_i e^{-N_0 t_i} t_i^{M_2 - M_1} |\Delta(t)|^2 = \left(\frac{1}{N_0}\right)^{M_1 M_2} \prod_{j=1}^{M_1} \Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(M_2 + 1 - j), \tag{37}$$

which can be obtained as a limiting case of the Selberg integral, we arrive at

$$\mathcal{Z}_C = \mathcal{V}_1 \frac{1}{N_0^{M_1 M_2}} \prod_{j=1}^{M_1} j!(M_2 - j)! \tag{38}$$

and, therefore,

$$\frac{\mathcal{Z}_C}{\mathcal{Y}_1} = \frac{1}{N_0^{M_1 M_2}} \prod_{j=1}^{M_1} \frac{(N-j)!}{(N - M_2 - j)!}. \tag{39}$$

In the end, we have the simplification

$$\lim_{M_2 \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{Z}_C}{\mathcal{Y}_1} = 1. \tag{40}$$

The limit $M_1 \to 0$ is trivial. Notice that the limit of N_0 is simply N.

Because of the way we arranged the elements around the marked edges, our maps will always have $2n$ faces, being n of each color. We have therefore produced the maps in $\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \chi)$ and proved our Theorem 1.

3.3 Sum over factorizations

Suppose a standard permutation $\pi \in S_n$ with cycletype $\alpha \vdash n$. We shall associate factorizations of π with the maps in $\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \chi)$. In order to do that, we must label the corners of the maps. We proceed as follows.

Consider first the marked vertex with largest valency, and pick a corner delimited by solid line. Label this corner and the corner following it in counterclockwise order with number 1. Then label the next two corners with the number 2, and so on until the label α_1 is used twice. Proceed to another marked vertex in weakly decreasing order of valency, and repeat the above with integers from $\alpha_1 + 1$ to $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$. Repeat until all marked vertices have been labelled, producing thus the cycles of π. The same procedure is then applied to the internal vertices, producing the cycles of another standard permutation ρ, acting on the set $\{n+1, ..., E\}$, where $E = n + m$ is the number of edges in the map. Notice that ρ has no fixed points, since all internal vertices of maps in $\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \chi)$ have even valence larger than 2.

Let $\Pi = \pi\rho$. See Figure 6, where $\Pi = (12)(34)$ for panel a), $\Pi = (12)(34)(56)(78)$ for panel b), $\Pi = (12)(345)(67)$ for panel c), and $\Pi = (12)(3456)$ for panel d).

Define the permutation ω_1 to be such that its cycles have the integers in the order they are visited by the arrows in solid line. In the example of Figure 6, this would be



Figure 6: Labeling the maps from Figure 1, we can associate with them certain factorizations of permutations.

$\omega_1 = (14)(23)$ for panel a), $\omega_1 = (184)(23675)$ for panel b), $\omega_1 = (17)(26453)$ for panel c) and $\omega_1 = (146)(235)$ for panel d). The cycles of ω_1 correspond to the closed boundary walks in solid line.

Permutation τ_2 is defined analogously in terms of the arrows in dashed lines. In Figure 6, this would be $\tau_2 = (13)(24)$ for panel a), $\tau_2 = (13)(285746)$ for panel b), $\tau_2 = (16)(27435)$ for panel c) and $\tau_2 = (1365)(24)$ for panel d). The cycles of τ_2 correspond to the closed boundary walks in dashed line.

Suppose an initial integer, i. The arrow in dashed line which departs from the corner labelled by i arrives at the corner labelled by $\tau_2(i)$. On the other hand, the image of i under the permutation Π corresponds to the label of an outgoing arrow in solid line which, following ω_1, also arrives at $\tau_2(i)$. Therefore, we have, by construction, $\omega_1\Pi = \tau_2$ or, equivalently, writing $\tau_1 = \omega_1^{-1}$, we have the factorization

$$\Pi = \tau_1\tau_2. \tag{41}$$

For the maps in $\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \chi)$ all boundary walks visit the marked vertices, which means that all cycles of τ_1 ad of τ_2 must have exactly one element in the set $\{1, ..., n\}$. Therefore, the permutations satisfy the conditions we listed in Section 1.2.2.

When we label the vertices of the map to produce a factorization, there are two kinds of ambiguities. First, for a vertex of valency $2j$ there are j possible choices for the first corner to be labelled. Second, if there are m_j vertices of valency j, there are $m_j!$ ways to order them. Hence, to a map for which the complement is ρ there correspond $z_\rho = \prod_j j^{m_j} m_j!$ factorizations, where m_j is the multiplicity of part j in the cycletype of ρ. The sum in (5) can indeed be written as

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^U(\pi) = \frac{1}{N^{2n+\ell(\pi)}} \sum_\chi N^\chi \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}(\pi,\chi)} \frac{(-1)^{\ell(\rho)}}{z_\rho}, \tag{42}$$

where $\mathcal{F}(\pi, \chi)$ is the set of factorizations of the kind we have described for given α and χ. This proves our Theorem 2.

4 Orthogonal group

4.1 Truncations

Let O be a random matrix uniformly distributed in $\mathcal{O}(N+1)$ with the appropriate normalized Haar measure. Let A be the $M_1 \times M_2$ upper left corner of O, with $N \geq M_1 + M_2$ and $M_1 \leq M_2$. It is known [48, 54] that A, which satisfies $AA^T < 1_{M_1}$, becomes distributed with probability density given by

$$P(A) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Y}_2} \det(1 - AA^T)^{N_0/2}, \tag{43}$$

where

$$N_0 = N - M_1 - M_2 \tag{44}$$

and $\mathcal{Y}_2$ is a normalization constant. Notice that we start with $\mathcal{O}(N+1)$ and not $\mathcal{O}(N)$.

The value of $\mathcal{Y}_2$ can be computed using the singular value decomposition $A = WDV$, where W and V are matrices from $\mathcal{O}(M_1)$ and $\mathcal{O}(M_2)$, respectively. Matrix D is real, diagonal and non-negative. Let $T = D^2 = \mathrm{diag}(t_1, t_2, ...)$. Then [50, 51],

$$\mathcal{Y}_2 = \int_{\mathcal{O}(M_1)} dW \int_{\mathcal{O}(M_2)} dV \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^{M_1} dt_i (1-t_i)^{N_0/2} t_i^{(M_2 - M_1 - 1)/2} |\Delta(t)|. \tag{45}$$

If we denote the angular integrals by $\int_{\mathcal{O}(M_1)} dW \int_{\mathcal{O}(M_2)} dV = \mathcal{V}_2$, then we have again from Selberg's integral that

$$\mathcal{Y}_2 = \mathcal{V}_2 \prod_{j=1}^{M_1} \frac{\Gamma(j/2+1)\Gamma((M_2+1-j)/2)\Gamma((N-M_2-j)/2+1)}{\Gamma((N-j)/2+1)\Gamma(3/2)}. \tag{46}$$

Consider now an even smaller subblock of O, which is contained in A. Namely, let $\widetilde{O}$ be the $N_1 \times N_2$ upper left corner of O, with $N_1 \leq M_1$ and $N_2 \leq M_2$. The average value of any function of matrix elements of $\widetilde{O}$ can be computed either by integrating over O or over A. In particular, the quantity

$$\mathrm{Wg}^O_{N+1}(\beta) = \int_{\mathcal{O}(N+1)} dO \prod_{k=1}^{n} \widetilde{O}_{k,j_k} \widetilde{O}_{k,j_{\widehat{k}}}, \tag{47}$$

where $k \leq N_1, N_2$ and the j's only satisfy some matching of cosettype β, can also be written as

$$\mathrm{Wg}^O_{N+1}(\beta) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Y}_2} \int_{AA^T < 1_{M_1}} dA \det(1 - AA^T)^{N_0/2} \prod_{k=1}^{n} A_{k,j_k} A_{k,j_{\widehat{k}}}. \tag{48}$$

Notice that the right-hand-side of equation (48) is actually independent of M_1 and M_2.

4.2 Sum over maps

Analogously to the unitary case, we have

$$\mathrm{Wg}^O_{N+1}(\beta) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Y}_2} \int d_G(A) e^{-\frac{N_0}{2} \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q} \mathrm{Tr}(AA^T)^q} \prod_{k=1}^{2n} A_{k,j_k} A_{k,j_{\widehat{k}}}, \tag{49}$$

where now $d_G(A) = e^{-\frac{N_0}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(AA^T)}$. The diagrammatical considerations proceed as previously, except that we use the Wick's rule of the real case and the resulting maps need not be

orientable. Also, a map now contributes $(-N_0/2)$ for each internal vertex and $1/N_0$ for each edge. This gives a total contribution which is proportional to

$$N_0^{v-E}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^v = \frac{N_0^\chi}{N^{F+\ell(\beta)}}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^V (-2)^{\ell(\beta)}, \tag{50}$$

where v is the number of internal vertices, $V = v + \ell$ is the total number of vertices, E is the number of edges and $\chi = F - E + V$ is the Euler characteristic, where F is the number of faces. When we take $M_2 \to 0$, and then $M_1 \to 0$, we arrive at maps with no closed boundary walks that avoid the marked vertices, having $2n$ faces, n of each color. We thus arrive at the maps in the set $\mathcal{NB}(\beta, \chi)$.

The Gaussian normalization constant is

$$\mathcal{Z}_R = \int d_G(A) = \mathcal{V}_2 \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^{M_1} dt_i e^{-\frac{N_0}{2}t_i} t_i^{(M_2-M_1-1)/2} \prod_{j=i+1}^{M_1} |t_j - t_i| \tag{51}$$

$$= \mathcal{V}_2 \left(\frac{2}{N_0}\right)^{\frac{M_1 M_2}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{M_1} \frac{\Gamma(1+j/2)\Gamma((M_2+1-j)/2)}{\Gamma(3/2)}, \tag{52}$$

and we have

$$\lim_{M_2\to 0} \frac{\mathcal{Z}_R}{\mathcal{Y}_2} = \lim_{M_2\to 0} \left(\frac{2}{N_0}\right)^{\frac{M_1 M_2}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{M_1} \frac{\Gamma((N+2-j)/2)}{\Gamma((N-M_2+2-j)/2)} = 1. \tag{53}$$

Once again, the limit $M_1 \to 0$ is trivial. This reduces N_0 to N. Taking into account the contribution of the maps, already discussed, we arrive at our Theorem 3.

4.3 Sum over factorizations

We now label the maps in $\mathcal{NB}(\beta, \chi)$ in order to relate them to permutations. We only need to change slightly the labelling procedure we used for the maps in $\mathcal{B}(\alpha, \chi)$ in Section 3.3. First, we replace the labels of the corners in dashed line by 'hatted' versions. Second, instead of labelling corners, we now label half-edges, by rotating the previous labels counterclockwise. This is shown in Figure 7 (where the hatted labels are enclosed by boxes, while the normal ones are enclosed by circles).

The unhatted labels, read in anti-clockwise order around vertices, produce a permutation Π which is standard. This can be written as $\Pi = \pi\rho$, where $\pi \in S_n$ has cycletype β and the complement ρ acts on the set $\{n+1, ..., E\}$ where $E = n + m$ is the number of edges. As before, ρ has no fixed points, since all internal vertices of maps in $\mathcal{NB}(\beta, \chi)$ have even valence larger than 2.

A fixed-point free involution θ can be constructed from the labels that appear at the ends of each edge. Namely, in the examples shown in Figure 7 it is given by $\theta = (1\widehat{3})(\widehat{1}3)(2\widehat{4})(\widehat{2}4)$ for a), $\theta = (1\widehat{3})(\widehat{1}3)(25)(\widehat{2}4)(\widehat{4}6)(\widehat{5}\widehat{6})$ for b) and $\theta = (1\widehat{3})(\widehat{1}3)(25)(\widehat{2}4)(\widehat{4}\widehat{5})$ for c).

We also define the hatted version of any permutation π by the equation $\widehat{\pi}(a) = \pi^{-1}(\widehat{a})$, assuming $\widehat{\widehat{a}} = a$. This is clearly an involution. Permutations that are invariant under this operation are called 'palindromic', such as $(12\widehat{21})$ or $(12)(\widehat{21})$. Any permutation that can be written as $\pi\widehat{\pi}$ where π is another permutation is automatically palindromic.

Define two special fixed-point free involutions,

$$p_1 = (1\,\widehat{1})(2\,\widehat{2})\cdots, \tag{54}$$

and

$$p_2 = (\widehat{1}\,2)(\widehat{2}\,3)\cdots(\widehat{\beta_1}\,1)(\widehat{\beta_1+1}\,\beta_1+2)\cdots(\widehat{\beta_1+\beta_2}\,\beta_1+1)\cdots. \tag{55}$$



Figure 7: Labelling some of the maps from Figures 1 and 2, in the way appropriate for the orthogonal case.

Notice that the cycles of p_1 contain labels which delimit corners of dashed line, while that the cycles of p_2 contain labels which delimit corners of solid line. Notice also that they factor the palindromic version of the vertex permutation, $p_2 p_1 = \Pi\,\widehat{\Pi}$.

By construction, the permutation $f_1 = \theta p_1$ contains every other label encountered along boundary walks around the faces delimited by boundaries in dashed line. For example, in Figure 7 it would be $f_1 = (13)(24)(\widehat{42})(\widehat{31})$ for a), $f_1 = (13)(246\widehat{5})(5\widehat{64}\widehat{2})(31)$ for b) and $f_1 = (13)(24\widehat{5})(5\widehat{42})(31)$ for c). In particular, f_1 is always palindromic.

Conversely, permutation $f_2 = p_2\theta$ contains every other label encountered along boundary walks around the faces delimited by boundaries in solid line. In Figure 7 it would be $f_2 = (14)(23)(\widehat{32})(\widehat{41})$ for a), $f_2 = (14)(2\widehat{6}63)(\widehat{32})(\widehat{4}5\widehat{5}\widehat{1})$ for b) and $f_2 = (14)(2\widehat{4}3)(\widehat{32})(\widehat{1}\widehat{55})$ for c). Permutation f_2 needs not be palindromic. Notice that f_1 and f_2 are factors for the palindromic version of the vertex permutation, $\Pi\,\widehat{\Pi} = f_2 f_1$.

For the maps in $\mathcal{NB}(\beta,\chi)$ all boundary walks visit the marked vertices, which means that all cycles of f_1 and of f_2 must have exactly one element in the set $\{1,...,n,\widehat{1},...,\widehat{n}\}$. Therefore, the permutations satisfy the conditions we listed in Section 1.3.2. When we label the vertices of the map to produce a factorization, the same ambiguities arise as for the unitary group, which are accounted for by division by the factor z_ρ. We have therefore arrived at factorizations in $\mathcal{NF}(\beta,\chi)$ and proved our Theorem 4.

Appendix - Other factorizations

4.4 Unitary case

A *monotone* factorization of π is a sequence $(\tau_1,...,\tau_k)$ of transpositions $\tau_i = (s_i\, t_i)$, $t_i > s_i$ and $t_i \geq t_{i-1}$, such that $\pi = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_k$. The number of transpositions, k, is the length of the factorization. Let M_α^k be the number of length k monotone factorizations of π, with cycletype α. Using the theory of Jucys-Murphy elements, Matsumoto and Novak showed that

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^U(\alpha) = (-1)^{n+\ell(\alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_\alpha^k N^{-n-k}. \tag{56}$$

A *proper* factorization of π is a sequence of permutations $(\tau_1,...,\tau_k)$, in which no one is the identity, such that $\pi = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_k$. The *depth* of a proper factorization is te sum of the ranks of the factors, $\sum_{j=1}^k r(\tau_j)$.

Let $P_\alpha^{k,d}$ be the number of proper factorizations of π, with cycletype α, having length k

and depth d. It is known that [55]

$$P_\alpha^{k,d} = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\lambda\vdash n}\chi_\lambda(1^n)\chi_\lambda(\alpha)\sum_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_k}\left\{\prod_{j=1}^{k}|C_{\mu_j}|\frac{\chi_\lambda(\mu_j)}{\chi_\lambda(1^n)}\right\}\delta_{\sum_j r(\mu_j),d}, \tag{57}$$

where all partitions μ are different from 1^n. Starting from the character expansion of the Weingarten function,

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^U(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n!^2}\sum_{\lambda\vdash n}\frac{\chi_\lambda(1^n)^2}{s_\lambda(1^N)}\chi_\lambda(\alpha), \tag{58}$$

Collins used [6] the Schur function expansion

$$s_\lambda(1^N) = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\mu\vdash n}|C_\mu|\chi_\lambda(\mu)N^{\ell(\mu)} = \frac{\chi_\lambda(1^n)N^n}{n!}\left(1+\sum_{\mu\vdash n,\mu\neq 1^n}|C_\mu|\frac{\chi_\lambda(\mu)}{\chi_\lambda(1^n)}N^{-r(\mu)}\right), \tag{59}$$

to arrive at the expression

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^U(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n!N^n}\sum_{\lambda\vdash n}\chi_\lambda(1^n)\chi_\lambda(\alpha)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^k\sum_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_k}\prod_{j=1}^{k}|C_{\mu_j}|\frac{\chi_\lambda(\mu_j)}{\chi_\lambda(1^n)}N^{-r(\mu_j)}. \tag{60}$$

Comparing with (57) ones concludes that

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^U(\alpha) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{d}(-1)^k P_\alpha^{k,d}\right)N^{-n-d}. \tag{61}$$

A *cycle* factorization π is a sequence of permutations $(\tau_1,...,\tau_k)$, in which all factors have only one cycle besides singletons, i.e. their cycletypes are hook partitions. *Inequivalent cycle* factorizations are equivalence classes of cycle factorizations, two factorizations being equivalent if they differ by the swapping of adjacent commuting factors. Berkolaiko and Irving show [25] that the number of such factorizations of π, with cycletype α, having length k and depth d, denoted by us $I_\alpha^{k,d}$, satisfy

$$\sum_k(-1)^k I_\alpha^{k,d} = \sum_k(-1)^k P_\alpha^{k,d} = (-1)^{n+\ell(\alpha)}M_\alpha^d. \tag{62}$$

These results are indexed by depth, but one can use Euler characteristic instead, by resorting to the equality $\chi = n+\ell(\alpha)-d$.

4.5 Orthogonal case

Consider again permutations acting on the set $[n]\cup\widehat{[n]}$. Let h be the operation of 'forgetting' the hat, i.e. $h(a) = h(\widehat{a}) = a$ for all $a\in[n]$.

Matsumoto defined the following analogue of monotone factorizations [31]. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a matching and let $(\tau_1,...,\tau_k)$ be a sequence of transpositions $\tau_i = (s_i\, t_i)$, in which all $t_i\in[n]$ with $t_i\geq t_{i-1}$ and $t_i > h(s_i)$, such that $\mathfrak{m} = \tau_1\cdots\tau_k(\mathfrak{t})$, where $\mathfrak{t}$ is the trivial matching. Let $\widetilde{M}_\alpha^k$ be the number of length k such factorizations of some $\mathfrak{m}$ with cycletype β. Then,

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^O(\beta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k\widetilde{M}_\beta^k N^{-n-k}. \tag{63}$$

The analogue of proper factorizations in this context is, for a permutation σ with cosettype β, a sequence of permutations $(\tau_1,...,\tau_k)$, no one having the same cosettype as the

identity, such that $\sigma = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_k$. Let $\widetilde{P}_\beta^{k,d}$ be the number of such factorizations having length k and depth d. We know from [28, 29, 30] that (actually these works only consider $k = 2$, but the extension to higher values of k is straightforward)

$$\widetilde{P}_\beta^{k,d} = \frac{1}{(2n)!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi_{2\lambda}(1^{2n}) \omega_\lambda(\beta) \sum_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{k} |K_{\mu_j}| \omega_\lambda(\mu_j) \right\} \delta_{\sum_j r(\mu_j), d}, \tag{64}$$

where

$$\omega_\lambda(\tau) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\xi \in H_n} \chi_{2\lambda}(\tau \xi) \tag{65}$$

are the zonal spherical function of the Gelfand pair (S_{2n}, H_n) (they depend only on the cosettype of τ; see [38]).

The relation between the above factorizations and orthogonal Weingarten functions comes as follows. The character-theoretic expression for the orthogonal Weingarten function is [8]

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^O(\tau) = \frac{2^n n!}{(2n)!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{\chi_{2\lambda}(1^n)}{Z_\lambda(1^N)} \omega_\lambda(\tau), \tag{66}$$

where Z_λ are zonal polynomials. Following the same procedure used for the unitary group, we expand $Z_\lambda(1^N) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\mu \vdash n} |K_\mu| \omega_\lambda(\mu) N^{\ell(\mu)}$ to arrive at

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^O(\tau) = \frac{2^n n!}{(2n)!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{\chi_{2\lambda}(1^n) \omega_\lambda(\tau)}{N^n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{|K_{\mu_j}|}{2^n n!} \omega_\lambda(\mu_j) N^{-r(\mu_j)}. \tag{67}$$

Comparing with (64), we see that

$$\mathrm{Wg}_N^O(\tau) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{d} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2^n n!)^{k-1}} \widetilde{P}_\beta^{k,d} \right) N^{-n-d}. \tag{68}$$

Berkolaiko and Kuipers have provided a combinatorial description of the coefficients in the $1/N$ expansion of the function Wg_{N+1}^O [32] (they actually worked with the so-called Circular Orthogonal Ensemble of unitary symmetric matrices, but the Weingarten function of that ensemble coincides [13] with Wg_{N+1}^O). A *palindromic monotone factorization* is a sequence $(\tau_1, ..., \tau_k)$ of transpositions $\tau_i = (s_i\, t_i)$, with $t_i > s_i$ and $t_i \geq t_{i-1}$, such that $\pi\widehat{\pi} = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_k \widehat{\tau_k} \cdots \widehat{\tau_1}$. Let $\widehat{M}_\beta^k$ be the number of length k palindromic monotone factorizations of $\pi\widehat{\pi}$, with π a permutation of cycletype β. Then,

$$\mathrm{Wg}_{N+1}^O(\beta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \widehat{M}_\beta^k N^{-n-k}. \tag{69}$$

An appropriate analogue of inequivalent cycle factorizations is currently missing, but we conjecture that, whatever they are, their counting function will be related to coefficients in $1/N$ expansions of orthogonal Weingarten functions.

References

[1] D. Weingarten, Asymptotic behavior of group integrals in the limit of infinite rank. J. Math. Phys. **19**, 999 (1978).

[2] S. Samuel, $U(N)$ integrals, $1/N$, and de Wit–'t Hooft anomalies. J. Math. Phys. **21**, 2695 (1980).

[3] P. A. Mello, Averages on the unitary group and applications to the problem of disordered conductors. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **23** 4061 (1990).

[4] P. W. Brouwer and C.W.J. Beenakker, Diagrammatic method of integration over the unitary group, with applications to quantum transport in mesoscopic systems. J. Math. Phys. **37**, 4904 (1996).

[5] M. Degli Esposti and A. Knauf, On the form factor for the unitary group. J. Math. Phys. **45**, 4957 (2004).

[6] B. Collins, Moments and cumulants of polynomial random variables on unitary groups, the Itzykson-Zuber integral, and free probability. Int. Math. Res. Not. **17**, 953 (2003).

[7] B. Collins and P. Śniady, Integration with respect to the Haar measure on unitary, orthogonal and symplectic group. Commun. Math. Phys. **264**, 773 (2006).

[8] B. Collins and S. Matsumoto, On some properties of orthogonal Weingarten functions. J. Math. Phys. **50**, 113516 (2009).

[9] J.-B. Zuber, The large-N limit of matrix integrals over the orthogonal group, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41**, 382001 (2008).

[10] T. Banica, B. Collins and J.-M. Schlenker, On polynomial integrals over the orthogonal group. J. Combinat. Theory A **118**, 78 (2011).

[11] S. Matsumoto and J. Novak, Jucys-Murphy elements and unitary matrix integrals. Int. Math. Research Not. **2**, 362 (2013).

[12] P. Zinn-Justin, Jucys-Murphy elements and Weingarten matrices. Lett. Math. Phys. **91**, 119 (2010).

[13] S. Matsumoto, Weingarten calculus for matrix ensembles associated with compact symmetric spaces. Random Matrices: Theory and Applications **2**, 1350001 (2013).

[14] A.J. Scott, Optimizing quantum process tomography with unitary 2-designs. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41**, 055308 (2008).

[15] M. Žnidarič, C. Pineda and I. García-Mata, Non-Markovian behavior of small and large complex quantum systems. Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 080404 (2011).

[16] M. Cramer, Thermalization under randomized local Hamiltonians. New J. Phys. **14**, 053051 (2012).

[17] Vinayak and M. Žnidarič, Subsystem's dynamics under random Hamiltonian evolution. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45**, 125204 (2012).

[18] M. Novaes, A semiclassical matrix model for quantum chaotic transport. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46**, 502002 (2013).

[19] M. Novaes, Semiclassical matrix model for quantum chaotic transport with time-reversal symmetry. Annals of Physics **361**, 51 (2015).

[20] P. Zinn-Justin and J.-B. Zuber, On some integrals over the $U(N)$ unitary group and their large N limit, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36**, 3173 (2003).

[21] B. Collins, A. Guionnet and E. Maurel-Segala, Asymptotics of unitary and orthogonal matrix integrals, Advances in Mathematics **222**, 172 (2009).

[22] M. Bousquet-Mélou, G. Schaeffer, Enumeration of planar constellations. Adv. in Appl. Math. **24**, 337 (2000).

[23] J. Irving, Minimal transitive factorizations of permutations into cycles. Canad. J. Math. **61**, 1092 (2009).

[24] J. Bouttier, Matrix integrals and enumeration of maps. Chapter 26 in The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory (Oxford, 2011), G. Akemann, J. Baik and P. Di Francesco (Editors).

[25] G. Berkolaiko and J. Irving, Inequivalent factorizations of permutations. arXiv:1405.5255v2.

[26] M. Bóna and B. Pittel, On the cycle structure of the product of random maximal cycles, arXiv:1601.00319v1.

[27] O. Bernardi, A. Morales, R. Stanley and R. Du, Separation probabilities for products of permutations, Combinatorics, Probability and Computing **23**, 201 (2014).

[28] A.H. Morales and E.A. Vassilieva, Bijective evaluation of the connection coefficients of the double coset algebra, arXiv:1011.5001v1.

[29] P.J. Hanlon, R.P. Stanley and J.R. Stembridge, Some combinatorial aspects of the spectra of normally distributed random matrices. Contemporary Mathematics **138**, 151 (1992).

[30] I.P. Goulden and D.M. Jackson, Maps in locally orientable surfaces, the double coset algebra, and zonal polynomials. Can. J. Math. **48**, 569 (1996).

[31] S. Matsumoto, Jucys–Murphy elements, orthogonal matrix integrals, and Jack measures. Ramanujan J. **26**, 69 (2011).

[32] G. Berkolaiko and J. Kuipers, Combinatorial theory of the semiclassical evaluation of transport moments I: Equivalence with the random matrix approach. J. Math. Phys. **54**, 112103 (2013).

[33] S. Müller, S. Heusler, P. Braun and F. Haake, Semiclassical approach to chaotic quantum transport. New Journal of Physics **9**, 12 (2007).

[34] S. Müller, S. Heusler, P. Braun, F. Haake and A. Altland, Periodic-orbit theory of universality in quantum chaos. Phys. Rev. E **72**, 046207 (2005).

[35] G. Berkolaiko and J. Kuipers, Combinatorial theory of the semiclassical evaluation of transport moments II: Algorithmic approach for moment generating functions. J. Math. Phys. **54**, 123505 (2013).

[36] M. Novaes, Semiclassical approach to universality in quantum chaotic transport. Europhys. Lett. **98**, 20006 (2012).

[37] M. Novaes, Combinatorial problems in the semiclassical approach to quantum chaotic transport. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46**, 095101 (2013).

[38] I.G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, 2nd edn. (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1995).

[39] J. Ginibre, Statistical Ensembles of Complex, Quaternion, and Real Matrices. J. Math. Phys. **6**, 440 (1965).

[40] T.R. Morris, Chequered surfaces and complex matrices. Nuclear Physics B **356** (1991) 703.

[41] G. 't Hooft, A planar diagram theory for strong interactions. Nucl. Phys. B **72**, 461 (1974).

[42] D. Bessis, C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, Quantum field theory techniques in graphical enumeration. Adv. Appl. Math. **1**, 109 (1980).

[43] P. Di Francesco, Rectangular matrix models and combinatorics of colored graphs. Nuclear Physics B **648**, 461 (2003).

[44] M. Novaes, Statistics of time delay and scattering correlation functions in chaotic systems. II. Semiclassical Approximation. J. Math. Phys. **56**, 062109 (2015).

[45] W.A. Friedman and P.A. Mello, Marginal distribution of an arbitrary square submatrix of the S-matrix for Dyson's measure. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **18**, 425 (1985).

[46] K. Życzkowski and H.-J. Sommers, Truncations of random unitary matrices. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **33**, 2045 (2000).

[47] Y.A. Neretin, Hua-type integrals over unitary groups and over projective limits of unitary groups. Duke Math. J. **114**, 239 (2002).

[48] P.J. Forrester, Quantum conductance problems and the Jacobi ensemble. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39**, 6861 (2006).

[49] Y.V. Fyodorov and B.A. Khoruzhenko, A few remarks on colour-flavour transformations, truncations of random unitary matrices, Berezin reproducing kernels and Selberg-type integrals. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **40**, 669 (2007).

[50] J. Shen, On the singular values of Gaussian random matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. **326**, 1 (2001).

[51] A. Edelman and N.R. Rao, Random matrix theory. Acta Numerica **14**, 233 (2005).

[52] M.L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, Chapter 17 (Academic Press, 2004).

[53] P.J. Forrester and S.O. Warnaar, The importance of the Selberg integral. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **45**, 489 (2008).

[54] B.A. Khoruzhenko, H.-J. Sommers and K. Życzkowski, Truncations of random orthogonal matrices. Phys. Rev. E **82**, 040106(R) (2010).

[55] R.P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, vol. 2, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.